

Tetrahedron 58 (2002) 8195–8203

**TETRAHEDRON** 

# Lewis acid catalyzed stereoselective hydrosilylation of ketones under the control of  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation

Naoki Asao, Takeshi Ohishi, Kenichiro Sato and Yoshinori Yamamoto\*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, 980-8578 Sendai, Japan

Received 16 April 2002; accepted 1 May 2002

Abstract—Hydrosilylation of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-pentan-1-one with Et<sub>3</sub>SiH in the presence of catalytic amounts of  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> gave the *anti*product with slight predominance over syn-product (syn/anti=1:1.5). In contrast, the syn-product was obtained stereoselectively in the reaction of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-pent-4-yn-1-one bearing an ethynyl group at the  $\beta$ -position (syn/anti=7:1). The syn-selectivities were also observed in the B( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed reactions of other related ketones, such as  $\alpha$ -methyl- $\beta$ -alkynyl aryl ketones and  $\alpha$ -methyl- $\beta$ -alkynyl alkyl ketones. The moderate *anti-selectivity observed in the former case can be explained by the ordinary Felkin–Anh model. On the other* hand, the unusual syn-selectivity in the latter cases can be accounted for by the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation by R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>, in which both the lone pair ( $\sigma$ ) of the carbonyl group and the  $\pi$ -electrons of the alkyne coordinate to the silylium ion. The  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation control was also effective for the 1,3asymmetric induction.  $© 2002$  Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Since Cram's pioneering work on chelation control in Grignard-type addition to chiral alkoxy carbonyl sub-strates,<sup>[1](#page-8-0)</sup> a number of studies on related subjects have appeared.[2](#page-8-0) Among them, the Lewis acid-mediated chelation control is one of the most fundamental and practically important concepts in modern organic chemistry.<sup>[3](#page-8-0)</sup> It is well accepted that the chelating controlled reaction proceeds through the  $\sigma$ -coordination of a lone pair of heteroatoms, such as an oxygen of alkoxy groups and a nitrogen of amino groups, to a Lewis acid ( $\sigma-\sigma$  chelation). Recently, we reported the first example of chelation controlled regio- and chemoselective reaction through the  $\sigma$ -coordination of carbonyl oxygen and  $\pi$ -coordination of triple bond to a Lewis acid ( $\sigma$ – $\pi$  chelation).<sup>[4](#page-8-0)</sup> Based on this finding, we next



Scheme 1.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.:  $+81-22-217-6581$ ; fax:  $+81-22-217-6784$ ; e-mail: yoshi@yamamoto1.chem.tohoku.ac.jp

communicated the unprecedented  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation-controlled stereoselective hydrosilylation of ketones using  $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst.[5,6](#page-8-0) Herein, we wish to report the full detail on the  $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed 1,2 and 1,3-stereoselective hydrosilylation of ketones (Scheme 1).

## 2. Results and discussion

## 2.1. 1,2-Stereoselective hydrosilylation

We examined the stereoselective hydrosilylation of various ketones using  $R_3SiH-B(C_6F_5)$  as a reducing agent.<sup>[7](#page-8-0)</sup> The reaction of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-pentan-1-one  $\overline{1}$  with Et<sub>3</sub>SiH in the presence of catalytic amounts of  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sup>3</sup> proceeded smoothly to give a mixture of the hydrosilylated products 2 and 3 in 98% yield (Eq. (1)). Slightly predominant formation of the anti-product 3 over syn-product 2 was observed: the ratio of 2/3 was 1:1.5. We next examined the hydrosilylation of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-pent-4-yn-1-one 4a  $(R<sup>1</sup>=Ph, R<sup>2</sup>=H)$  under the same reaction conditions as above. Interestingly, the syn-product 5a was afforded as the major product  $(5a/6a=7:1)$  (Eq. (2)). This result prompted us to examine the hydrosilylation of 4a and related ketones 4b–h to clarify the generality of this unusual diastereo-selectivity. The results are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-1-0)



Keywords: chelation; stereocontrol; alkynes; ketones.

 $(2)$ 

ß۶

 $7·1$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1.**  $\sigma$ – $\pi$  chelation-controlled hydrosilylation of 4

Entry	Substrate 4			$R_3$ SiH	Yield 5 and 6 $(\%)^a$	Ratio 5/6
	R <sup>1</sup>	$R^2$				
1	Ph	Н	4a	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	90	7.0:1
2	Ph	Н	4a	Ph <sub>2</sub> MeSiH	99	6.8:1
3	Ph	Me	4b	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	Ouant	5.0:1
$\overline{4}$	Ph	Ph	4c	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	Ouant	3.0:1
5	Ph	<b>TMS</b>	4d	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	Ouant	7.7:1
6	Et	Н	4e	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	Ouant	4.4:1
7	$c - C_6H_{11}$	Н	4f	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	93	5.0:1
8	$o$ -MePh	H	4g	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	94	15:1
9	'Bu	Н	4h	Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	Ouant	>30:1

Reaction was performed with R<sub>3</sub>SiH (1 equiv.) and B( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub> (2 mol%) in toluene at  $0^{\circ}$ C within 1 h.<br><sup>a</sup> Isolated yield.

c.



The predominant formation of the syn-product was also observed in the reaction of 4a with other silanes such as  $Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH$  (entry 2). The reactions of  $4b-d$ , bearing Me, Ph, and TMS groups at the terminal position of alkyne, respectively, also gave syn-selectivities (entries 3–5). Not only aromatic ketones but also aliphatic ketones 4e, 4f, and 4h produced syn-products selectively (entries 6, 7, and 9). Interestingly, stereoselectivities increased from 4.4:1  $(R<sup>1</sup>=Et)$  to >30:1  $(R<sup>1</sup>=<sup>t</sup>Bu)$  as the substituents at  $R<sup>1</sup>$ position became bulkier. These results clearly indicate that the syn diastereoselectivity is widely observed in the  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  catalyzed reduction of 4 with hydrosilanes.

The stereostructures of 5a and 6a were unambiguously determined by converting 5a and 6a to 9a and 10, respectively, as shown in Scheme 2. The treatment of a mixture of 5a and 6a (4.9:1) with TBAF, followed by the protection of the resulting alcohols by MPMCl under basic condition gave 7 in 88% yield. The alkynyl part of 7 was converted to a carboxylic acid by hydroboration-oxidative work-up, which was subsequently esterified to give 8 in 47% yield. Deprotection of the MPM group of 8 by CAN gave a mixture of the lactones 9a and 10 in a ratio of 4.6:1 in  $87\%$  yield. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **9a** was identical to that of the known compound.<sup>[8](#page-8-0)</sup> The stereostructure of  $5h$ , which was obtained from the aliphatic ketone 4h, was also determined by converting 5h to cis-6-tert-butyl-5-methyltetrahydro-pyran-2-one (9b) via similar routes. The stereo-





Figure 1.

structures of  $5b-g$  and  $6b-g$  were assigned by their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra on the analogy of those of 5a, 6a, and 5h.

The difference of the diastereoselectivities between Eqs. (1) and (2) clearly shows that the acetylenic bond of 4 exerts a crucial role upon the observed syn-selectivity. Piers et al. proposed the interesting silane activation mechanism in the  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> catalyzed hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones; the ordinary mechanism, in which the carbonyl oxygen of the electrophiles coordinates to  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> and thus carbonyl substrates are activated, is not operative in the  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> catalyzed reduction.<sup>[7](#page-8-0)</sup> Their extensive mechanistic studies clarify that  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> activates the silane via hydride abstraction to form the incipient silylium species which enhances the electrophilicity of carbonyl group, facilitating the reduction by  $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ <sup>-</sup> or R<sub>3</sub>SiH (Fig. 1).

Most probably, a silylium species is generated here also, and the  $\sigma-\pi$  coordination of this species is operative in the reaction of 4. The anti diastereoselectivity in the reaction of 1 can be accounted for by the ordinary Felkin–Anh model. The propyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position is regarded as the largest group and the Me as the medium size (model 11). Accordingly, anti-3 is produced with slight preference, and the observed low stereoselectivity is due to the small steric difference between propyl and methyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position. On the contrary, in the reaction of 4, the reduction would proceed through the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation of  $R_3Si^+$  (model 12): the hydride attack takes place from the less hindered side to produce the syn-isomer 5. If the ordinary Felkin–Anh model is involved also in the case of 4, the anti-diastereomer 6 should be produced predominantly, since a propargyl group is sterically larger than a Me group. Indeed, the anti-selectivity was observed with slight predominance when the reduction of 4a was carried out using DIBAL-H, in which the ratio of 13/14 was 49:51.



The stereoselectivities decreased as the substituents  $R^2$  of 4 became bulky (entries 1, 3, and 4). Presumably, a bulky  $\mathbb{R}^2$ group would make it difficult to form strong  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation in 12. Higher selectivity obtained in the case of 4d may be explained by the well-known  $\beta$ -silyl effect, which would make the chelation more stronger.<sup>[9](#page-8-0)</sup> The proposed chelation model also can explain the reason the syn-selectivity was Scheme 2. **Scheme 2. Scheme 2. obtained very predominantly or exclusively in the reaction** 

Entry		Substrate 25		Yield 26 and 27 $(\%)^a$	Ratio anti-26/syn-27	
	R <sup>1</sup>	$R^2$	$R^3$			
	Ph	Me	<b>TMS</b>	25a	Quant	6.5:1
$2^{\rm b}$	Ph	Me	<b>TMS</b>	25a	90	4.2:1
3 <sup>c</sup>	Ph	Me	<b>TMS</b>	25a	Quant	4.2:1
4	Ph	Me	Ph	25 <sub>b</sub>	90	6.5:1
5	Ph	Me	Me	25c	Quant	4.8:1
6	Ph	Me	H	25d	85	3.3:1
	Ph	$c - C_6H_{11}$	Ph	25e	Ouant	12:1
8	Ph	<sup>t</sup> Bu	Ph	25f	Quant	18:1
9	$c - C_6H_{11}$	Me	<b>TMS</b>	25g	83	3.6:1
10	'Bu	Me	<b>TMS</b>	25 <sub>h</sub>	77	20:1

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 2.**  $\sigma = \pi$  chelation-controlled 1,3-hydrosilylation of 25

Reaction was performed with Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH (1 equiv.) and B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2 mol%) in toluene at 0°C within 1 h unless otherwise indicated.<br><sup>a</sup> Isolated yield. b Et<sub>3</sub>SiH was used instead of Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH. c PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiH was used i

of 4g and 4h having bulky  $R<sup>1</sup>$  groups (entries 8 and 9). There is a possibility that hydride may attack from the bottom side of carbonyl group in the conformer 15, which produces the anti-isomer 6. On the other hand, the axially oriented methyl group prevents the hydride attack from the bottom side in the conformer 16. The conformer 16 is more favored with the bulkier  $R<sup>1</sup>$  group because of the increased steric repulsion between  $R^1$  and Me in 15.<sup>[10](#page-8-0)</sup>



The  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub>-catalyzed hydrosilylation of 17 with Et<sub>3</sub>SiH afforded the syn-isomer 18 as a sole product in 99% yield (Eq. (3)). Both the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation and Felkin–Anh model leads to the syn-isomer, since isopropyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position of 17 is sterically larger than propargyl group. On the other hand, the syn-selectivity was decreased (syn- $20$ /*anti*-21=98:2) in the hydrosilylation of 19, bearing a saturated propyl group instead of a propargyl group at the  $\alpha$ -position, under the same reaction condition (Eq. (4)). These results clearly imply the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation can be used not only for reversing the Felkin–Anh selectivity but also for increasing it by choosing the substituent at the a-position of carbonyl compounds.



#### 2.2. 1,3-Stereoselective hydrosilylation

The 1,2-asymmetric induction via the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation control could be extended to the 1,3-system. First, we attempted the  $(C_6F_5)_3B$  catalyzed hydrosilylation of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-trimethylsilyl-pentan-1-one 22a with  $Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH$  (Eq. (5)). The reaction proceeded smoothly to give a mixture of the reduced products 23a and 24a quantitatively, however, no selectivity was observed  $(23a/24a=1:1)$ . While the *anti*-product 23b was obtained predominantly in the reaction of 22b bearing 'Bu group at the b-position, the selectivity was not high  $(23b/24b=2.3:1)$ . On the other hand, high *anti*-selectivities were observed in the reaction of 25 bearing alkynyl moieties (Eq. (6)) and the results are summarized in Table 2.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n0 & R^{1} & & & 2 \text{ mol\%} & Ph_{2} \text{MeSiO} & R^{1} & & Ph_{2} \text{MeSiO} & R^{1} \\
& & & & & \text{B(C}_6F_5)_3 & & & & \text{Ph}_{2} \text{MeSiO} & R^{1} \\
22a: R^{1} = Me, R^{2} = TMS & & & & & & 23a, b & & & 24a, b \\
22b: R^{1} = Bu, R^{2} = Ph & & & & & & 23a, b & & & & 24a, b\n\end{array}
$$
 (5)

$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

The reaction of the alkynyl ketone  $25a$  with Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH gave the *anti*-product 26a stereoselectively  $(26a/27a=6.5:1)$ (entry 1). The anti-selectivities were also observed in the reactions using other silanes although the selectivities slightly decreased (entries 2 and 3). Interestingly, stereoselectivities increased from 6.5:1  $(R^2=Me)$  to 18:1  $(R<sup>2</sup>=<sup>t</sup>Bu)$  as the substituent at  $R<sup>2</sup>$  position became bulkier (entries 4, 7, and 8). Not only aromatic ketones but also the aliphatic ketones 25g and 25h produced the corresponding anti-products selectively (entries 9 and 10). These results clearly indicate that the anti diastereoselectivity is widely observed in the  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  catalyzed reduction of 25 with hydrosilanes.

The stereostructure of 26a was unambiguously determined by converting 26a to cis-4-Methyl-6-phenyl-tetrahydropyran-2-one  $32a^{11}$  $32a^{11}$  $32a^{11}$  via a similar route to that shown in [Scheme 2.](#page-1-0) The stereostructures of 26h and 27h, which were obtained from the aliphatic ketone 25h, were also determined by converting an 11:1 mixture of 26h and 27h to the 6-membered lactones 32h and 33h, as shown in [Scheme 3.](#page-3-0) To remove the silyl group at the terminus position of alkyne, a mixture of 26h and 27h (11:1) was treated with KOH in MeOH–THF. The reaction gave the desired product  $28$  (antilsyn=4.5:1) in 47% yield together



Scheme 3.

with the *anti*-alcohol 29 as a single diastereomer in 47% yield. This result indicates that the desilylation of TMS and Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSi group of the *anti*-isomer 26h proceeded more readily than that of the syn-isomer 27h. Hydrogenation of the alkynyl part of  $28$  with Pd–BaSO<sub>4</sub> in the presence of quinoline gave 30 in 80% yield and the resulting alkene 30 was converted to the corresponding alcohol 31 in 42% yield by hydroboration-oxidative work-up. Deprotection of the Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSi group of 31 by TBAF gave diol, which was subsequently oxidized with NaClO in the presence of a catalytic amount of TEMPO to give the lactones 32h and 33h in a ratio of 4.0:1 in 30% yield. Irradiation of the methyl group at the 4-position of pyran ring of 33h enhanced the signal of the proton at the 6-position (2.6% NOE), whereas no enhancement was observed in the case of 32h.

The *anti*-stereoselectivity in the reaction of 25 can be accounted for by the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation model 34, which involves hydride attack on the less hindered face of a conformationally locked, internally chelated intermediate.<sup>[2a](#page-8-0)</sup> The stereoselectivities decreased as the silanes became small (entries  $1-3$  in [Table 2](#page-2-0)). Presumably, when the sterically less-hindered silanes work as reducing agents  $(M-H$  in 34), they would have smaller steric interactions with the chiral center rather than that in the 1,2-system since the chiral center in the present system is remote from the reaction center.



The proposed formation of  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation is supported not only by the 1,3-anti stereoselectivity observed in the reduction of 25, but also by the chemoselectivity mentioned below. The competitive reaction of a 1:1 mixture of 22b and 25f with 1 equiv. of  $Ph_2MeSiH$  in the presence of catalytic amounts of  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  gave selectively 36 over 35 in a ratio of 8:1 (Eq. (7)). The observed chemoselectivity suggested that the reactivity of 25f would be enhanced by the formation of  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation.<sup>[4,12](#page-8-0)</sup> We next examined the hydrosilylation of an equimolar mixture of 25i and 25j. If the electron-withdrawing effect of the alkyne is responsible for the enhanced reactivity of 25f, 25j would be more reactive than 25i due to the presence of an electronwithdrawing  $CF_3$  group at the para position, and therefore predominant formation of 38 should be observed. However,

37 was produced preferentially over 38 (Eq. (8)). Therefore, the reactivity difference in the present reaction is not due to the inductive effect but due to the coordinating effect of alkyne leading to formation of the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation complex 34.



Now it is clear that the  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation is operative not only in the 1,2- but also in the 1,3-asymmetric induction of certain acetylenic ketones. The syn-diastereoisomers obtained either exclusively or predominantly in the reaction of 4 or the anti-isomer in the reaction of 25 can be converted, upon reduction of the triple bond, to the anti-Felkin–Anh products which are not easily available through the ordinary reducing methods. We are now in a position to apply the  $\sigma-\pi$  coordination concept along with the wellknown  $\sigma$ – $\sigma$  chelation in order to control stereoselectivities.

#### 3. Experimental

## 3.1. General procedures

All reactions sensitive to air or moisture were carried out under argon atmosphere in dry solvents purchased from Wako or Kanto chemicals. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck Silica gel 60 F254 plates. Column chromatography was performed using Kanto Chemical silica gel 60N (spherical, neutral; 40–  $50 \mu m$ ). IR spectra were recorded on a Shimazu FTIR-8200A spectrometer.  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-AL 300 spectrometer, and chemical shift values are reported in ppm  $(\delta)$  downfield from tetramethylsilane with reference to internal residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 7.26; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 77.0). Coupling constants  $(J)$  are reported in hertz  $(Hz)$ . Low- and highresolution mass spectra (MS, HRMS) were recorded on a Hitachi M-2500S spectrometer.

## 3.2. General procedure for hydrosilylation

The preparation of 5h is representative. To a stirred solution of  $4h$  (152 mg, 1 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>SiH (0.16 mL, 1 mmol) in toluene (3 mL) was added a 0.05 M solution of  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> in toluene (0.40 mL, 2 mol%) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. After the mixture was stirred for 60 min, the resulting solution was filtered through a short column chromatography of silica gel. The solvent was removed under reduced pressures to give a crude product, which was purified by silica gel column

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

chromatography using hexane/ $Et_2O=9/1$  as eluent to give 5h as a colorless oil (268 mg, 1 mmol) in nearly 100% yield.

3.2.1. 2-Methyl-1-phenyl-1-triethylsilyloxy-pentane (2+3). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.20–7.36 (m, 5H), 4.45 (d,  $J=5.4$  Hz, 1H, 2), 4.37 (d,  $J=6.3$  Hz, 1H, 3), 0.43–1.74 (m, 26H). IR (neat); 3086, 3065, 3028, 2957, 2912, 1495, 1454, 1379, 1238, 1082, 1067, 1006, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  291 (M<sup>+</sup>-H, 0.1). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{31}OSi$  (M<sup>+</sup>-H) 291.2143, found 291.2117.

3.2.2. 4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-pent-1-yne  $(5a+6a)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.20–7.30 (m, 5H), 4.69 (d,  $J=5.1$  Hz, 1H, 5a), 4.47 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H, 6a), 2.20–2.30 (m, 1H), 1.85–2.00 (m, 3H), 0.97 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H, 5a), 0.87 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 9H), 0.51 (q, J=7.8 Hz, 6H). IR (neat); 2957, 2912, 2878, 1454, 1414, 1240, 1134, 1088, 1069, 826, 743, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 259 ( $M^+ - C_2H_5$ , 16). HRMS calcd for  $C_{16}H_{23}$ OSi  $(M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)$  259.1518, found 259.1529.

3.2.3. 4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-diphenylmethylsilyloxypent-1-yne (5aa+6aa). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.17–7.61 (m, 15H), 4.71 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 1H, **5aa**), 4.52 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H, 6aa), 1.83–2.32 (m, 4H), 1.03 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 5aa), 0.78 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 6aa), 0.44,  $(s, Si-Me, 3H, 5aa), 0.39$   $(s, Si-Me, 3H, 6aa)$ . IR (neat) 3069, 3026, 2963, 2910, 2874, 1454, 1429, 1256, 1119, 1088, 854, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  330 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, 0.4). HRMS calcd for  $C_{22}H_{22}OSi (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)$  330.1440, found 330.1435.

3.2.4. 5-Methyl-6-phenyl-6-triethylsilyloxy-hex-2-yne  $(5b+6b)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.20–7.29 (m, 5H), 4.69 (d,  $J=4.8$  Hz, 1H, 5b), 4.47 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H, 6b),  $2.16-2.20$  (m, 1H),  $1.27-1.91$  (m, 5H), 0.92 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H, 5b), 0.87 (t,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 9H), 0.78 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H, 6b), 0.51 (q,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 6H). IR (neat); 3063, 3028, 2957, 2912, 2876, 1454, 1414, 1240, 1134, 1086, 831, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  273 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 8). Anal. calcd for C19H30OSi: C, 75.43; H, 10.00. found: C, 75.44; H, 10.30.

3.2.5. 4-Methyl-1-phenyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxypent-1-yne  $(5c+6c)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCi<sub>3</sub>)$ ,  $300 \text{ MHz}$ )  $\delta$  7.21–7.42 (m, 10H), 4.78 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H, 5c), 4.55 (d,  $J=7.5$  Hz, 1H, 6c), 2.54 (d,  $J=6.0$  Hz, 2H, 6c), 2.46 (dd, J=13.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H, 5c), 2.16 (dd, J=16.5, 6.9 Hz, 1H, 5c), 1.93–2.04 (m, 1H), 0.83–1.05 (m, 12H), 0.45– 0.57 (m, 6H). IR (neat); 3063, 3030, 2957, 2910, 2876, 1454, 1414, 1240, 1132, 1088, 827, 754 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 364 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.1). HRMS calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>OSi (M<sup>+</sup>) 364.2222, found 364.2234. Anal. calcd for  $C_{24}H_{32}OSi$ : C, 79.06; H, 8.85, found: C, 78.72; H, 9.21.

3.2.6. 4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-1-trimethyl- $\text{silyl-pent-1-yne}$  (5d+6d). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  7.19–7.29 (m, 5H), 4.75 (d,  $J=4.8$  Hz, 1H, 5d), 4.51 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H, 6d), 2.33 (m, 2H, 6d), 2.27 (dd,  $J=16.5$ , 6.3 Hz, 1H, 5d), 1.99 (dd,  $J=16.6$ , 6.8 Hz, 1H, 5d), 1.84-1.90 (m, 1H), 0.94 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H, 5d), 0.89 (t,  $J=4.1$  Hz, 9H), 0.79 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H, 6d), 0.51 (q,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 6H), 0.17 (s, 9H). IR (neat); 3065, 3028, 2957, 2910, 2878, 2174, 1454, 1379, 1250, 1134, 843, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  331 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 3). Anal. calcd for  $C_{21}H_{36}OSi$ : C, 69.93; H, 10.06, found: C, 70.16; H, 10.33.

3.2.7. 4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-hept-1-yne (5e+6e). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.22 (dt,  $J=3.6$ , 6.6 Hz, 1H, 5e), 3.14 (q,  $J=5.4$  Hz, 1H, 6e), 1.35–1.94 (m, 4H), 0.93–1.02 (m, 2H), 0.40–1.02 (m, 15H), 0.17 (q, J=8.1 Hz, 6H). IR (neat); 2961, 2912, 2878, 1458, 1416, 1240, 1136, 1094, 1011, 841, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  211 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 42). HRMS calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>23</sub>OSi  $(M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)$  211.1518, found 211.1504.

3.2.8. 5-Cyclohexyl-4-methyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-pent-1 yne (5f+6f). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 3.46 (dd,  $J=3.2$ , 6.5 Hz, 1H, 5f), 3.29 (m, 1H, 6f), 2.03– 2.36 (m, 2H), 1.95 (t,  $J=2.6$  Hz, 1H, 5f), 1.93 (t,  $J=2.6$  Hz, 1H, 6f), 1.60–1.93 (m, 6H), 0.86–1.43 (m, 12H), 0.62 (q, J=7.9 Hz, 6H). IR (neat); 2955, 2930, 1458, 1450, 1109, 1076, 1009, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  265 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 26). HRMS calcd for  $C_{16}H_{29}OSi (M^+-C_2H_5)$  265.2013, found: 265.1976.

3.2.9. 4-Methyl-5-o-methylphenyl-5-triethylsilyloxypent-1-yne  $(5g+6g)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.07–7.43 (m, 4H), 5.06 (d, J=3.9 Hz, 1H, 5g), 4.71 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H, 6g), 2.32 (s, 3H),  $1.85-2.36$  (m, 4H), 0.81-0.96 (m, 12H), 0.51 (q, J=8.1 Hz, 6H). IR (neat); 3067, 3024, 2957, 2912, 2878, 1460, 1414, 1240, 1136, 1076, 837, 746 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  302 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.1). Anal. calcd for  $C_{19}H_{30}$ OSi: C, 75.43; H, 10.00, found: C, 75.08; H, 10.26.

3.2.10.  $(4R^*$ , 5S $*)$ -4, 6, 6-Trimethyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-hept-1-yne (5h). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.22 (d, J=1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.40–2.48 (m, 1H),  $1.83-2.01$  (m, 3H),  $1.11$  (d,  $J=6.5$  Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t,  $J=7.8$  Hz, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.62 (q,  $J=7.8$  Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 85.3, 84.1, 68.4, 36.6, 34.7, 26.7, 21.1, 21.0, 7.3, 5.7. IR (neat); 2957, 2912, 2878, 1458, 1418, 1238, 1128, 1099, 1034, 849, 808, 737 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 267 (M<sup>+</sup>-H, 0.1). HRMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>31</sub>OSi (M<sup>+</sup>-H) 267.2143, found 267.2134.

#### 3.3. Determination of stereostructure of 5a and 6a

To a solution of  $5a$  and  $6a$  (1.44 g, 5 mmol,  $5a/6a=4.9:1$ ) in THF (10 mL) was added 1 M solution of TBAF in THF  $(10.2 \text{ mL}, 10.2 \text{ mmol})$  at 0°C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and then  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether $=2/1$  eluent) to give 2-methyl-1-phenyl-4-pentyn-1-ol (826 mg, 4.7 mmol) in 95% yield. To a suspension of NaH (150 mg, 6.3 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added 2-methyl-1-phenyl-4-pentyn-1 ol (826 mg, 4.8 mmol) at rt. After the mixture was stirred for 10 min, p-methoxybenzyl chloride (0.8 mL, 6 mmol) and TBAI (184 mg, 0.5 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at 50°C, and then  $H_2$ 0 was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times.

The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $19/1$  eluent) to give 5-p-methoxybenzyloxy-4-methyl-5-phenyl-1-pentyne (7) (1.3 g, 4.4 mmol) in 93% yield. To a solution of 7  $(885 \text{ mg}, 3.0 \text{ mmol})$  in THF  $(5 \text{ mL})$  was added a 1 M solution of  $BH_3$ ·THF in THF (3 mL, 3.0 mmol) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at  $0^{\circ}$ C, then excess hydride was decomposed by adding 0.5 mL of MeOH. To the resulting solution was added a solution of mCPBA (1.7 g, 10 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt. The reaction was quenched by adding  $H_2O$ , and then the mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product. The crude product was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (0.76 g) and  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  (1.7 mL, 18 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) at rt. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at  $65^{\circ}$ C, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite. The solvent was removed under the reduced pressure to give a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $9/1$  eluent) to give methyl 5-p-methoxybenzyloxy-4-methyl-5-phenyl-pentanoate (8) (479 mg, 1.4 mmol) in 47% yield by three steps. To a solution of  $8$  (72 mg, 0.21 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2.0 mL) and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1.0 mL) was added CAN (230 mg, 0.42 mmol) at 0<sup>o</sup>C. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt, the mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $1/1$  eluent) to give a mixture of 4-methyl-6-phenyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one  $(9a/10=4.6:1)$ (35 mg, 0.18 mmol) in 87% yield.

3.3.1. 5-Methyl-6-phenyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (9a+10). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.26–7.41 (m, 5H), 5.50 (d,  $J=3.3$  Hz, 1H, 9a), 4.85 (d,  $J=9.6$  Hz, 1H, 10), 2.64 – 2.76 (m, 2H), 2.01 – 2.40 (m, 2H),  $1.73-1.83$  (m, 1H), 0.87 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 3H, 10), 0.78 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H, 9a). IR (neat); 3063, 3032, 2964, 2936, 2880, 1454, 1383, 1236, 1200, 1065, 748, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 190 (M<sup>+</sup>, 22). HRMS calcd for  $C_{12}H_{14}O_2$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 190.0994, found 190.0996.

3.3.2. cis-6-tert-Butyl-5-methyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (9b). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.72 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.47 (ddd,  $J=4.8$ , 8.1, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (ddd,  $J=4.5$ , 8.4, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (sex,  $J=6.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.85 (dddd, J=4.6, 5.6, 8.7, 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (dddd,  $J=4.8,7.5, 8.5, 13.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.12 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz) <sup>d</sup> 173.1, 92.5, 36.2, 28.7, 27.8, 27.7, 26.0, 21.4. IR (neat); 2961, 2874, 1740, 1464, 1244, 1082, 1061, 1005, 920, 733 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 170 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1). HRMS calcd for  $C_{10}H_{18}O_2$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 170.1307, found 170.1287.

3.3.3.  $(4R^*$ ,  $5R^*$ )-4-Isopropyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyl $oxy$ -pent-1-yne (18). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.1–7.3 (m, 5H), 4.96 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (ddd,  $J=2.6$ , 7.9, 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (ddd,  $J=2.7, 5.0$ , 16.9 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (t,  $J=2.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.65–1.72 (m, 1H), 0.95 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (m, 12H), 0.51 (q, J=7.8 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  144.3, 127.8, 126.9, 126.6, 84.5, 75.6, 69.3, 51.1, 26.1, 22.5, 18.1, 15.6, 6.8, 4.8.

IR (neat); 3030, 2957, 2912, 1456, 1238, 1099, 1070, 825, 731, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  287 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 5). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{27}OSi$   $(M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)$  287.1830, found: 287.1848.

3.3.4.  $(1R^*$ ,  $2R^*$ )-2-Isopropyl-1-phenyl-1-triethylsilyl $oxy$ -pentane (20). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.2–7.3 (m, 5H), 4.55 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.3–1.4 (m, 1H), 0.8–1.1 (m, 19H), 0.71 (t,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H), 0.43 (q,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) <sup>d</sup> 145.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.7, 77.0, 51.5, 27.7, 26.4, 22.3, 22.0, 17.2, 14.4, 6.8, 4.9. IR (neat); 3028, 2957, 2876, 1454, 1414, 1130, 1084, 827 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  291  $(M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 2)$ . HRMS calcd for  $C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>31</sub>OSi (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)$ 291.2144, found: 291.2176.

3.3.5. 1-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-5 trimethylsilyl-pentane  $(23a+24a)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.55–7.20 (m, 15H), 4.74 (m, 1H, 22), 4.71 (m, 21), 1.92 (ddd, J=4.2, 9.0, 13.4 Hz, 1H, 21), 1.69 (t,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 1H, 22), 1.65–0.86 (m, 4H), 0.81 (d,  $J=6.1$  Hz, 3H, 22), 0.73 (d,  $J=6.1$  Hz, 3H, 21), 0.44 (s, 3H, 22), 0.43 (s, 3H, 21), 0.40–0.20 (m, 2H),  $-0.04$  (s, 9H, 21),  $-0.10$  (s, 9H, 22). IR (neat); 3068, 2952, 1429, 1248, 1119, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  446 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.06). HRMS calcd for  $C_{28}H_{38}OSi_2$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 446.2459, found 446.2484.

3.3.6. 3-tert-Butyl-1-diphenylmethylsilyloxy-1,5-diphenyl-pentane  $(23b+24b)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 7.57 (m, 4H), 7.29 (m, 14H), 7.07  $(d, J=6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 4.67 \text{ (dd, } J=6.6, 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.77 \text{ (ddd, }$  $J=5.1, 11.4, 13.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.46 (ddd,  $J=5.4, 11.4, 13.8$  Hz, 1H),  $1.91$  (ddd,  $J=4.2$ , 6.6, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.24 (m, 2H), 0.69 (s, 9H), 0.41 (s, 3H). IR (neat); 3069, 3026, 2961, 2868, 1494, 1454, 1429, 1367, 1253, 1119, 1065, 791, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  492 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.01). HRMS calcd for C34H40OSi 492.2848, found: 492.2816.

3.3.7.  $(3R^*$ ,  $5R^*$ )-5-Diphenymethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5phenyl-1-trimethylsilyl-pent-1-yne (26a). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.51 (m, 4H), 7.40–7.20 (m, 11H), 4.88 (dd, J=5.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (ddq, J=5.8, 6.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (ddd,  $J=5.7$ , 8.7, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (ddd,  $J=5.8, 7.6, 12.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.02 (d,  $J=6.4$  Hz, 3H), 0.46 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>CNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  144.7, 136.3, 136.2, 134.4, 134.3, 129.5, 129.4, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 126.4, 111.4, 85.0, 74.4, 48.3, 24.3, 21.3, 0.4, 22.5. IR (neat); 3069, 2961, 2168, 1429, 1250, 1119, 1082, 843, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  442 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2). HRMS calcd for  $C_{28}H_{34}OSi_2$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 442.2146, found 442.2133.

3.3.8.  $(3R^*$ ,  $5S^*$ )-5-Diphenymethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5phenyl-1-trimethylsilyl-pent-1-yne (27a). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.60–7.20 (m, 15H), 5.00 (dd,  $J=2.3$ , 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (ddq,  $J=4.0$ , 7.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (ddd,  $J=4.0$ , 9.6, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 1.62 (ddd,  $J=2.3$ , 11.0, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 0.54 (s, 3H), 0.15 (s, 9H). IR (neat); 3080, 2963, 2166, 1429, 1250, 1119, 1057, 843, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  442 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2). HRMS calcd for  $C_{28}H_{34}OSi_2(M^+)$  442.2146, found 442.2161.

3.3.9. 3-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-triethylsilyloxy-1-trimethyl $silyl-pent-1-yne$  (26aa+27aa). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR

 $(CDCl_3, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  7.20 (m, 5H), 4.92 (dd, J=2.4, 9.8 Hz, 1H, 27aa), 4.85 (dd,  $J=5.6$ , 8.6 Hz, 1H, 26aa), 2.15 (ddq,  $J=6.6, 6.8, 9.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.95 (ddd,  $J=5.6, 9.2, 12.0$  Hz, 1H) 1.67 (ddd,  $J=6.8$ , 8.6,12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (d,  $J=6.7$  Hz, 3H, **27aa**), 1.11 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H, **26aa**), 0.87 (t,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 9H), 0.52 (dq,  $J=3.6$ , 8.0 Hz,6H), 0.19 (s, 9H, 27aa), 0.18 (s, 9H, 26aa). IR (neat); 2957, 2878, 2168, 1493, 1456, 1416, 1250, 1086, 1057, 1005, 881, 758, 665 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  360 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2). HRMS calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>OSi<sub>2</sub> 360.2305, found: 360.2320.

3.3.10. 5-Dimethyphenylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1  $trimethylsilvl-pent-1-vne$  (26aaa+27aaa). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.48 (m, 2H), 7.29 (m, 8H), 4.95 (dd,  $J=2.4$ , 9.8 Hz, 1H, 27aaa), 4.80 (dd,  $J=6.2$ , 7.3 Hz, 1H, 26aaa), 2.19 (ddq,  $J=5.8, 6.9, 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.97 (ddd,  $J=6.2$ , 8.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (ddd,  $J=4.1$ , 9.8, 12.9 Hz, 27aaa), 1.66 (ddd,  $J=5.8$ , 7.3, 12.3 Hz, 1H, **26aaa**), 1.13 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H, **27aaa**), 1.03 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H, 26aaa), 0.24 (d,  $J=9.0$  Hz, 6H), 0.16 (s, 9H, 27aaa), 0.11 (s, 9H, 26aaa). IR (neat); 3069, 3028, 2961, 2899, 2168, 1454, 1427, 1250, 1117, 1084, 841,  $700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . MS (EI)  $m/z$  380 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.3). HRMS calcd for  $C_{23}H_{32}OSi_2$  380.1992, found: 380.1985.

3.3.11. 5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-1,5-diphenylpent-1-yne  $(26b+27b)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>)$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.52 (m,4H), 7.32 (m,16H), 5.05 (dd, J=2.5, 9.6 Hz, 1H, 26b), 4.97 (dd,  $J=5.9$ , 8.0 Hz, 1H, 27b), 2.38  $(\text{ddq}, J=5.6, 6.9, 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.17 \text{ (ddd, } J=5.9, 8.6,$ 12.6 Hz, 1H, 26b), 2.00 (ddd,  $J=3.9, 9.6, 13.0$  Hz, 1H, 27b), 1.84 (ddd,  $J=5.6$ , 8.0, 12.6 Hz, 1H, 26b), 1.72 (ddd,  $J=2.5$ , 10.1, 13.0 Hz, 1H, 27b), 1.26 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 3H, 27b), 1.12  $(d, J=6.9 \text{ Hz}, 3H, 26b), 0.50 \text{ (s, 3H, 27b)}, 0.46 \text{ (s, 3H, 26b)}.$ IR (neat); 3069, 2966, 2361, 2443, 1489, 1456, 1429, 1256, 1119, 1082, 1053, 997, 835, 793, 698, 665 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  446 (M<sup>+</sup>, 5). HRMS calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>30</sub>OSi 446.2066, found: 446.2072.

3.3.12. 6-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-4-methyl-6-phenylhex-2-yne  $(26c+27c)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.54 (m, 6H), 7.35 (m, 9H), 4.97 (dd, J=3.0, 9.9 Hz, 1H,  $27c$ ), 4.90 (dd,  $J=6.0, 7.5$  Hz, 1H,  $26c$ ) 2.77 (m, 1H), 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.07 (ddd,  $J=6$ , 8.9, 12.9 Hz, 1H), 1.91  $(\text{ddd}, J=4.2, 9.9.13.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 1.76 \text{ (d, } J=2.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H, 27c),$ 1.74 (d,  $J=2.1$  Hz, 3H, 26c), 1.71 (m, 1H), 1.59 (ddd,  $J=3.0, 10.8, 13.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.15 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H, 27c), 1.02 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H, 26c), 0.50 (s, 3H, 27c), 0.48 (s, 3H, 26c). IR (neat); 3069, 3049, 2964, 2918, 1429, 1119, 1088, 1069, 716, 793 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  384 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3). HRMS calcd for  $C_{26}H_{28}OSi$  384.1909, found: 384.1901.

3.3.13.  $(3R^*$ ,  $5R^*$ )-5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5phenyl-pent-1-yne (26d). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $300$  MHz)  $\delta$  7.52 (m, 4H), 7.32 (m, 11H), 4.88 (dd, J=6.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (ddq,  $J=5.8$ , 6.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddd,  $J=6.0, 8.8, 12.9$  Hz, 1H), 1.97 (d,  $J=2.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.74 (ddd,  $J=5.8, 7.6, 12.9$  Hz, 1H), 1.04 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H), 0.45 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 143.9, 136.3, 136.1, 134.4, 134.3, 129.7, 129.6, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 126.4, 88.6, 73.8, 68.6, 47.2, 22.2, 20.6, 22.5. IR (neat); 3302, 3069, 3026, 2970, 2936, 2853, 2343, 1454, 1429, 1254, 1119, 1055, 858, 791, 739, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  370 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.3).

Anal. calcd for  $C_{25}H_{26}OSi$ : C, 81.03; H, 7.07. Found: C, 80.97; H, 7.23.

3.3.14.  $(3R^*$ , 5S $*)$ -5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5phenyl-pent-1-yne  $(27d)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.51 (m, 4H), 7.31 (m, 11H), 4.96 (dd, J=2.7, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (ddq,  $J=3.9$ , 7.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (d,  $J=1.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.91 (ddd,  $J=3.9$ , 9.9, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.63  $(\text{ddd}, J=2.7, 9.2, 13.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 1.17 \,(\text{d}, J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 0.48$ (s, 3H.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  144.6, 136.4, 136.2, 134.6, 134.4, 129.6, 129.5, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.2, 126.2, 88.4, 74.0, 69.0, 48.0, 22.9, 21.2,  $-2.6$ . IR (neat); 3304, 3069, 3026, 2968, 2936, 1456, 1429, 1254, 1119, 1053, 847, 791, 734, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  370 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.5). Anal. calcd for  $C_{25}H_{26}OSi$ : C, 81.03; H, 7.07, found: C, 80.88; H, 7.41.

3.3.15. 3-Cyclohexyl-1,5-diphenyl-5-diphenylmethyl- $\text{silyloxy-pent-1-yne}$  (26e+27e). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  7.54 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 4H), 7.32 (m, 16H), 5.01 (t,  $J=6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.14 (m, 2H), 1.93 (t,  $J=8.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.71–1.54 (m, 5H), 1.26–1.11 (m, 6H), 0.46 (S, 3H). IR (neat); 2926, 2853, 1489, 1450, 1429, 1254, 1119, 1078, 1026, 854, 791 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  514 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{38}$ OSi 514.2692, found: 514.2675.

3.3.16. 3-tert-Butyl-1,5-diphenyl-5-diphenylmethylsily $oxy$ -pent-1-yne (26f+27f). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  7.53 (dd, J=1.5, 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.28  $(m, 16H), 5.09$  (t,  $J=7.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.04  $(m, 2H), 1.74$  (ddd,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.47 (s, 3H). IR (neat); 3069, 2963, 2868, 1489, 1456, 1429, 1367, 1254, 1119, 1074, 1053, 885, 792, 737, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$ 448 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1). HRMS calcd for  $C_{34}H_{36}OSi$  488.2535, found: 488.2525.

3.3.17. 5-Cyclohexyl-5-diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3 methyl-1-trimethylsilyl-pent-1-yne  $(26g+27g)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.58 (m, 4H), 7.38 (m, 6H), 3.95 (dt,  $J=3.0$ , 9.9 Hz, 1H, 27g), 3.76 (dt,  $J=3.6$ , 9.9 Hz, 1H, 26g), 2.5 (tq,  $J=6.9$ , 13.8 Hz, 1H) 1.70-1.43  $(m, 8H)$  1.21–1.04  $(m, 5H)$ , 1.09  $(d, J=6.9 \text{ Hz}, 3H, 27g)$ , 0.97 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 26g), 0.71 (s, 3H, 27g),0.66 (s, 3H, 26g), 0.15 (s, 9H, 27g) 0.13 (s, 9H, 26g). IR (neat); 2959, 2928, 2853, 2164, 1450, 1429, 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI) m/z 448  $(M^+, 0.4)$ . HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{40}OSi_2$  448.2618, found: 448.2598.

3.3.18.  $(3R^*$ ,  $5R^*$ )-5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3,6,6,-trimethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-hept-1-yne (26h). White crystals; mp  $36-36.5^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.58 (m, 4H), 7.37 (m, 6H), 3.38 (dd,  $J=2.6$ , 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (ddq,  $J=2.1, 4.3, 9.8$  Hz, 1H), 1.79 (ddd,  $J=4.3, 8.5, 13.1$  Hz, 1H),  $1.55$  (ddd,  $J=2.6$ , 9.8, 13.1 Hz, 1H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.83 (d,  $J=2.1$  Hz, 3H), 0.66 (s, 3H), 0.144 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 136.8, 134.6, 129.5, 127.7, 112.7, 79.0, 77.2, 40.5, 35.6, 26.3, 23.4, 19.7, 0.3, -1.7. IR (KBr); 2168, 2959, 2872, 1429, 1250, 1117, 1092, 1028, 860, 841 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  407 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub> 0.7). Anal. calcd for  $C_{26}H_{38}OSi_2$ : C, 73.87; H, 9.06. Found: C, 73.62; H, 9.12.

3.3.19.  $(3R^*$ , 5S $*)$ -5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3,6,6-trimethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-hept-1-yne (27h). Colorless oil;

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.59 (m, 4H), 7.35 (m, 6H), 3.76 (dd,  $J=0.9$ , 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (ddq,  $J=3.6$ , 6.9, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 1,56 (ddd,  $J=0.9$  12.0 13.3 Hz, 1H), 1.45  $(\text{ddd}, J=3.6, 9.0, 13.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 0.98 \text{ (d, } J=6.9 \text{ Hz}, 3H), 0.81$ (s, 9H), 0.74 (s, 3H), 0.16 (s, 9H). IR (neat); 2961, 2870, 2168, 1479, 1429, 1250, 1117, 1090, 841 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  407 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 2). Anal. calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>38</sub>OSi<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.87; H, 9.06, found: C, 73.68; H, 9.20.

## 3.4. Determination of stereostructure of 26a

To a solution of  $26a$  (2.2 g, 5.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added a 1 M solution of TBAF in THF (10.2 mL, 10.2 mmol) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at  $0^{\circ}$ C, and then H<sub>2</sub>O was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $4/1$  eluent) to give 3-methyl-1phenyl-4-pentyn-1-ol (870 mg, 5.0 mmol) quantitatively. To a suspension of NaH (100 mg, 4.2 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added 3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-pentyn-1-ol (354 mg, 2.0 mmol) at rt. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, p-methoxybenzyl chloride (0.4 mL, 3.0 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h, and then NH4Cl was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel,  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  eluent) to give 5-p-methoxybenzyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1-pentyne (532 mg, 1.8 mmol) in 90% yield. To a solution of 5-pmethoxybenzyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1-pentyne (294 mg, 1.0 mmol) in THF  $(3 \text{ mL})$  was added BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub>  $(0.1 \text{ mL})$ , 1.0 mmol) at  $-15^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, then excess hydride was decomposed by adding 0.2 mL of MeOH. To the resulting solution was added a solution of mCPBA (580 mg, 3.0 mmol) in THF (3.0 mL) at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and it was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by adding  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$ , and then the mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was treated by sodium chlorite (325 mg, 3.6 mmol) in the presence of 2-methyl-2-butene  $(0.5 \text{ mL}, 4.7 \text{ mmol})$  and NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (125 mg, 1.0 mmol) in  $BuOH$  (7.5 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL) to give crude 5-pmethoxybenzyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-pentanoic acid. The crude product was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (1 g) and MeI (0.62 mL, 10 mmol) at rt. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h, the reaction was quenched with  $H_2O$ . The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether=5/1 eluent) to give methyl  $5-p$ methoxybenzyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-pentanoate

(125 mg, 0.37 mmol) in 37% yield by four steps. To a solution of methyl 5-p-methoxybenzyloxy-3-methyl-5 phenyl-pentanoate (124 mg, 0.36 mmol) in  $CH_3CN$  $(1.5 \text{ mL})$  and  $H_2O$   $(1.5 \text{ mL})$  was added CAN  $(394 \text{ mg})$ , 0.72 mmol) at rt. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h, the mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $1/1$  eluent) to

give cis-4-methyl-6-phenyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (32a) (61 mg, 0.32 mmol) in 89% yield.

#### 3.5. Determination of stereostructure of 26h and 27h

To a solution of  $26h$  and  $27h$   $(2.32 g, 5.50 mmol,$  $26h/27h=11:1$ ) in MeOH (10 mL) and THF (1.5 mL) was added a 1 M solution of KOH in MeOH (6.6 mL, 6.6 mmol) at  $-10^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at 0°C, and then  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $30/1$  eluent) to give 28 (898 mg, 2.57 mmol,  $antilsyn=4.5:1$ ) in 47% yield together with  $(3R^*, 5R^*)$ -2,2,5-Trimethyl-hept-6-yn-3-ol 29 (399 mg, 2.59 mmol) in 47% yield. To a solution of 28 (854 mg, 2.44 mmol,  $antilsyn=4.5:1$ ) in AcOEt (5 mL) was added Pd–BaSO4 which was poisoned with quinoline. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt under hydrogen atmosphere, then it was filtrated through a pad of celite and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $30/1$ ) eluent) to give 5-diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3,6,6-trimethylhept-1-ene 30 (686 mg, 1.95 mmol, *antilsyn*=4.9:1) in 80% yield. To a solution of 30 (651 mg, 1.85 mmol) in THF  $(5 \text{ mL})$  was added  $BH_3-Me_2S$  complex (17.5 mL, 1.85 mmol) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 50 min at  $0^{\circ}$ C, and then H<sub>2</sub>O was added. To the mixture was added 3 M solution of aqueous NaOH (2.47 mL, 7.40 mmol) and 30%  $H_2O_2$  (1 mL, 8.80 mmol) at 0°C, successively. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and then it was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether=3/1 eluent) to give 5-diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3,6,6-trimethyl-heptan-1-ol 31 (287 mg, 0.78 mmol, *antilsyn*=5.3:1) in 42% yield. To a solution of  $31$  (256 mg, 0.75 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added a 1 M solution of TBAF in THF (0.75 mL, 0.75 mmol) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, then H<sub>2</sub>O was added. The mixture was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $1/1$  eluent) to give 3,6,6-trimethyl-heptane-1,5-diol (130 mg, 0.75 mmol,  $antilsyn=6.4:1$ ) quantitatively. To a solution of 3,6,6-trimethyl-heptane-1,5-diol (100 mg, 0.60 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL) was added a 1 M solution of aqueous KBr (0.05 mL, 0.05 mmol), TEMPO reagent (1.6 mg, 0.01 mmol), and 0.3 M solution of aqueous NaClO  $(2 \text{ mL}, 0.60 \text{ mmol})$  at  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , successively. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, then it was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated to leave a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether= $2/1$  eluent) to give a mixture of **32h** and **33h** (31 mg, 0.18 mmol, *antilsyn*=4.0:1) in 30 % yield.

3.5.1. 5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3,6,6-trimethyl-hept-1 yne (28). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.59 (m, 4H), 7.45 (m, 6H), 3.74 (dd,  $J=1.4$ , 9.2 Hz, 1H, <span id="page-8-0"></span>**28b**), 3.40 (dd,  $J=2.4$ , 8.4 Hz, 1H, **28a**), 2.33 (dddq,  $J=2.4$ ,  $3.9, 6.6, 10.2$  Hz, 1H,  $2.01$  (d,  $J=2.1$  Hz, 1H,  $28b$ ), 1.98 (d,  $J=2.4$  Hz, 1H, 28a), 1.82 (ddd,  $J=3.9$ , 8.4, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (ddd, J=2.4, 10.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 28b), 0.85 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H, 28a), 0.74 (s, 9H, 28b), 0.68 (s, 9H, 28a). IR (neat); 3308, 3071, 2959, 2872, 1429, 1254, 1117, 1090, 791, 737 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  350 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.1). HRMS calcd for  $C_{23}H_{30}OSi$  350.2066, found: 350.2033.

3.5.2.  $(3R^*$ ,  $5R^*$ )-2, 2, 5-Trimethyl-hept-6-yn-3-ol  $(29)$ . Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.33 (ddd,  $J=2.1$ , 4.5, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (m, 1H), 2.14 (d,  $J=2.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d,  $J=4.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.66 (ddd,  $J=2.1, 7.5, 12.9$  Hz, 1H), 1.53 (ddd, J=7.3, 9.6, 12.9 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  89.7, 78.2, 69.0, 38.8, 34.8, 25.6, 23.7, 20.6. IR (neat); 3404, 3312, 2957, 2906, 2872, 2112, 1479, 1466, 1394, 1466, 1188, 980 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  154 (M<sup>+</sup>, 5). HRMS calcd for C10H18O 154.1358, found: 154.1345.

3.5.3. cis-4-Methyl-6-phenyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (32a). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.40–7.30 (m, 5H), 5.32 (dd,  $J=2.9$ , 11.6 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.12 (m, 3H), 1.53 (ddd,  $J=11.6$ , 13.2, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.09 (d,  $J=5.8$  Hz, 3H). MS (EI)  $m/z$  190 (M<sup>+</sup>, 25). IR (neat); 1722, 1496, 1266, 1244, 995 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  $(M<sup>+</sup>)$  190.0993, found 190.0993.

3.5.4. 6-tert-Butyl-4-methyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one  $(32h+33h)$ . White crystals; mp  $24-25^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  3.98 (dd, J=2.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H, 33h), 3.95 (dd,  $J=1.8$ , 7.2 Hz, 1H, 32h), 2.66 (ddd,  $J=1.2$ , 6.3, 13.5 Hz, 1H, 32h), 2.51 (dd,  $J=5.4$ , 11.4 Hz, 1H, 33h), 2.19 (m, 2H, 33h), 1.99 (m, 2H, 32h), 1.87 (m, 1H, 32h), 1.82 (ddd,  $J=4.4$ , 7.1, 8.4 Hz, 1H, 33h), 1.50 (ddt,  $J=0.6$ , 2.4, 8.4 Hz, 1H, 33h), 1.18 (ddd,  $J=0.9, 7.2, 14.1$  Hz, 1H, 32h), 1.10 (d,  $J=3.9$  Hz, 1H, 33h), 1.04 (d,  $J=3.9$  Hz, 1H). IR (KBr); 2959, 2874, 1481, 1458, 1367, 1242, 1207, 1074,  $1003$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  170 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.01). HRMS calcd for  $C_{10}H_{18}O$ , found: 170.1341.

3.5.5. 5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1-ptolyl-pent-1-yne (37). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $300$  MHz)  $\delta$  7.53 (m, 6H), 7.34 (m, 9H), 7.15 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (dd, J=3.0, 9.9 Hz, 1H, 37b), 4.97 (dd,  $J=6.0$ , 8.1 Hz, 1H, 37a), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.35  $(m, 1H)$ , 2.34  $(s, 3H)$ , 2.16  $(ddd, J=6.0, 9.3, 13.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.83 (ddd,  $J=5.7$ , 8.1, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H), 0.50 (s, 3H, 37b), 0.45 (s, 3H, 37a). IR (neat); 3049, 3026, 2966, 1510, 1454, 1254, 1119, 1082, 797, 791 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  460 (M<sup>+</sup>, 8). Anal. calcd for  $C_{32}H_{32}OSi$ : C, 83.43; H, 7.00, found: C, 83.17; H, 7.06.

3.5.6. 5-Diphenylmethylsilyloxy-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1-(4 trifluoromethylphenyl)-pent-1-yne (38). Colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.48 (m, 6H), 7.27 (m, 13H), 4.95 (dd,  $J=3$ , 10.1 Hz, 1H, 36b), 4.87 (dd,  $J=6.0$ , 7.8 Hz, 1H, 36a), 2.48 (m, 1H, 38b), 2.37 (ddq,  $J=5.5$ , 6.9, 8.9 Hz,

1H, 38a), 2.12 (ddd, J=6.0, 8.9, 13.2 Hz, 1H, 38a), 1.96  $(\text{ddd}, J=4.1, 10.1, 13.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H, 38b), 1.79 \text{ (ddd}, J=5.5, 7.8,$ 13.2 Hz, 1H, 38a), 1.67 (ddd,  $J=3.0$ , 10.8, 13.4 Hz, 1H, 38b), 1,21 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 38b), 1.07 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, 38a), 0.41 (s, 3H, 38b), 0.39 (s, 3H, 38a). IR (neat); 3071, 1614, 1429, 1323, 1256, 1167, 1121, 1067, 700, 665 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI)  $m/z$  514 (M<sup>+</sup>, 5). Anal. calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>29</sub>F<sub>3</sub>OSi: C, 74.68; H, 5.68, found: C, 74.39; H, 5.68.

#### References

- 1. (a) Cram, D. J.; Kopecky, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 2748. (b) Leitereg, T. J.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4019.
- 2. (a) Mengel, A.; Reiser, O. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1191–1223. (b) In Modern Carbonyl Chemistry; Otera, J., Ed.; Wiley– VCH: Weinheim, 2000.
- 3. (a) Lewis Acids in Organic Synthesis; Yamamoto, H., Ed.; Wiley–VCH: Weinheim, 2000; Vols. 1–2. (b) In Lewis Acid Reagents; Yamamoto, H., Ed.; Oxford University: New York, 1999. (c) Mahrwald, R. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1095–1120. (d) Santelli, M.; Pons, J. M. Lewis Acids and Selectivity in Organic Synthesis; CRC: Boca Raton, 1996. (e) Shambayati, S.; Schreiber, S. L. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 1, pp 283–324. (f) Yamaguchi, M. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 1, pp 325–353.
- 4. Asao, N.; Asano, T.; Ohishi, T.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4817-4818.
- 5. Asao, N.; Ohishi, T.; Sato, K.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6931-6932.
- 6. There are several examples of  $\sigma-\pi$  chelation in the transition metal complexes by using tethered olefin-phosphine ligands, see Yamazaki, A.; Nishihara, Y.; Nakajima, K.; Hara, R.; Takahashi, T. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3105–3108, and references cited therein.
- 7. Piers and his co-workers found that  $B(C_6F_5)$ <sub>3</sub> catalyzed hydrosilylation of carbonyl functions, such as aldehydes, ketones and esters, proceeded very smoothly to give the corresponding reduced compounds in high yields. (a) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9440–9441. (b) Parks, D. J.; Blackwell, J. M.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3090–3098.
- 8. Oshima, M.; Yamazaki, H.; Shimizu, I.; Nisar, M.; Tsuji, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6280–6287.
- 9. For reviews, see: (a) Lambert, J. B. Tetrahedron 1988, 46, 2677–2689. (b) Apeloig, Y. In The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1989; pp 57–225. (c) Fleming, I. CHEMTRACTS Org. Chem. 1993, 6, 113–116.
- 10. Sarko, C. R.; Guch, I. C.; DiMare, M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 705–706.
- 11. Barbero, A.; Blakemore, D. C.; Fleming, I.; Wesley, R. N. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1329–1352.
- 12. Reetz, M. T.; Maus, S. Tetrahedron 1984, 43, 101–108.